



KNEE KINEMATICS IN MEDIAL OSTEOARTHRITIS DURING IN VIVO WEIGHT-BEARING ACTIVITIES



Journal:	<i>Journal of Orthopaedic Research</i>
Manuscript ID:	JOR-08-0437.R3
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Apr-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Hamai, Satoshi; Kyushu University, Orthopaedic Surgery; University of Florida, Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering Moro-oka, Taka-aki; Kyushu University, Orthopaedic Surgery; University of Florida, Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering Miura, Hiromasa; Kyushu University, Orthopaedic Surgery Shimoto, Takeshi; Kyushu Sangyo University, Mechanical Engineering Higaki, Hidehiko; Kyushu Sangyo University, Mechanical Engineering Fregly, BJ; University of Florida, Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering Iwamoto, Yukihide; Kyushu University, Orthopaedic Surgery Banks, Scott; University of Florida, Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
Keywords:	tibiofemoral joint, kinematics, medial osteoarthritis, dynamic activity, axial rotation



1 **KNEE KINEMATICS IN MEDIAL OSTEOARTHRITIS**
2
3 **DURING IN VIVO WEIGHT-BEARING ACTIVITIES**
4
5
6
7

8 * ** Satoshi Hamai, * ** Taka-aki Moro-oka, ** Hiromasa Miura, *** Takeshi Shimoto, *** Hidehiko
9 Higaki, * Benjamin J. Fregly, ** Yukihide Iwamoto, +*Scott A. Banks
10
11
12

13
14
15 * Department of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
16

17 ** Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, 3-1-1
18 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan
19
20

21 *** Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu Sangyo University, 2-3-1
22 Matsugadai, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 813-8583, Japan
23
24
25

26
27
28
29 Address correspondence and reprint request to: Scott A. Banks
30

31 318 MAE-A, Mail Stop 116250, Gainesville, FL 32611-6250
32

33
34 Phone: 352-392-6109
35

36 FAX: 352-392-7303
37

38 E-mail: banks@ufl.edu
39

40
41 Running title: Knee kinematics in medial osteoarthritis
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

SUMMARY

Dynamic knee kinematics were analyzed for medial osteoarthritic (OA) knees in three activities, including two types of maximum knee flexion. Continuous x-ray images of kneeling, squatting, and stair climbing motions were taken using a large flat panel detector. CT-derived bone models were used for the model registration-based 3D kinematic measurements. Three-dimensional joint kinematics and contact locations were determined using two methods: bone-fixed coordinate systems and by interrogation of CT-based bone model surfaces. The femur exhibited gradual external rotation with knee flexion for kneeling and squatting activities, and gradual internal rotation with knee extension for stair climbing. From 100° to 120° flexion, contact locations showed a medial pivot pattern similar to normal knees. However, knees with medial OA displayed a femoral internal rotation bias and less posterior translation when compared to normal knees. A classic screw-home movement was not observed in OA knees near extension. Decreased variability with both activities and methods of calculation were demonstrated for all three activities. In conclusion, the weight-bearing kinematics of patients with medial OA differs from normal knees. Pathological changes of the articulating surfaces and the ligaments correspond to observed abnormalities in knee kinematics.

Keywords: tibiofemoral joint, kinematics, medial osteoarthritis, dynamic activity, axial rotation

INTRODUCTION:

3D-to-2D model registration techniques have been used for 15 years to determine knee arthroplasty motions from fluoroscopic image sequences [1,2]. Kinematic information is now available while subjects with multiple designs of total knee arthroplasty (TKA) perform functional activities [3-12]. These single-plane fluoroscopic techniques have recently been applied for motion measurement in joints without implants, where 3D surface models of the bones are created from computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging [13-22]. Komistek et al. reported kinematic measurements using single-plane fluoroscopic projections and CT derived bone models [15]. Moro-oka et al. recently assessed the accuracy of their shape matching technique using bone models created from CT and MR, and analyzed 3D dynamic knee kinematics in kneeling, squatting, and stair climbing [18, 19]. Single-plane x-ray imaging and model-based shape matching appear to provide kinematic measurements with sufficient certainty to assess normal and pathological knee motions using either CT or MR derived models.

3D kinematic studies have focused on patients with TKA [1-12], normal knees [13-19,23], or anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficient knees [20-22]. To our knowledge, no studies have employed 3D-to-2D model registration techniques to study knees with osteoarthritis (OA). This information is critical to achieve a better understanding of how OA affects knee mechanics and the state of the knee prior to TKA. Kinematics of OA knees have been evaluated with surgical navigation systems, radiostereometry, and MRI [24-26], but these techniques have not been used to study weight-bearing, active, large range of motion activities. In particular, pre-TKA knee mechanics in deeply flexed postures has become more important as patients expect to perform these activities post-TKA.

Our purpose was to analyze kinematics of knees with medial OA. We sought to

1
2
3
4 answer three questions: 1) Do kinematics of knees with medial OA differ from healthy
5
6 knees during weight-bearing activities?; 2) Do kinematics of knees with medial OA vary
7
8 with activity?; and 3) Does the method for quantifying femoral rotation differ between the
9
10 bone-embedded coordinate system and the contact location from CT derived bone models?
11
12
13

16 METHODS

18
19 From December 2004 to September 2005, 12 subjects were recruited before
20
21 undergoing unilateral primary TKA for treatment of severe medial compartment knee OA.
22
23 Subjects were defined according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria for the
24
25 diagnosis of knee OA: medial knee pain, radiographic osteophytes at the medial joint space,
26
27 and at least one of the following: age > 50 years, morning stiffness < 31 mins in duration,
28
29 or crepitus on motion [27]. Inclusion criteria were: the ability to perform at least one of
30
31 three activities: kneeling, squatting, and stair climbing; and consent to participate in the
32
33 study (as approved by the institutional review board). The subjects included 11 women and
34
35 1 man (age 74 ± 8 yrs, range, 62-86; height 151 ± 8 cm, range, 136-167; and weight $60 \pm$
36
37 13 kg, range, 43-81, Table 1). One knee had previous arthroscopic partial medial
38
39 meniscectomy. The radiographic level of OA was classified using the Kellgren-Lawrence
40
41 System [28] and averaged 3.9 ± 0.3 (1 knee had grade 3, 11 had grade 4). The average
42
43 passive range of motion (extension/flexion) was $-12^\circ \pm 10^\circ$ (range, -35° to 0°)/ $118^\circ \pm 13^\circ$
44
45 (range, 90° - 140°). The average Knee Society knee score/function score [29] was 58 ± 9
46
47 (range, 37-70)/ 56 ± 9 (range, 45-70). Limb alignment in the coronal plane was measured by
48
49 drawing a mechanical axis, from the center of the femoral head to the center of the ankle,
50
51 on each limb depicted in a full-standing radiograph. The weight-bearing ratio as a
52
53 percentage was calculated by measuring the distance from the medial edge of the proximal
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 tibia to the point where the mechanical axis intersects the proximal tibia, then dividing that
5
6 measurement by the width of the proximal tibia [30] and multiplying by 100. The average
7
8 ratio was $7\% \pm 23\%$ (range, -26% to 64%). The integrity of the ACL and PCL were
9
10 assessed during surgery. Both were intact in 4 knees, both were attenuated or ruptured in 6
11
12 knees, and the ACL was attenuated or ruptured, but the PCL was intact in 2 knees. In all
13
14 knees, cartilage destruction in the medial compartment on the femur and tibia was severe
15
16 with exposed subchondral bone, and mildly or severely degenerated medial menisci. The
17
18 lateral compartment showed low or mild-grade degeneration with cartilage fibrillation.
19
20
21
22
23

24 Bone models of the femur and tibia/fibula were created from CT (Toshiba, Aquilion,
25
26 Tochigi, Japan) scans with a 512×512 image matrix, a 0.35×0.35 pixel dim, and a 1-mm
27
28 thickness spanning about 150 mm above and below the joint line, and 2mm slices through
29
30 the centers of the hip and ankle joints. Cortical bone edges were segmented using
31
32 commercial software (SliceOmatic, Tomovision, Montreal, CA), and these point clouds
33
34 were converted into polygonal surface models (Studio, Raindrop Geomagic, Research
35
36 Triangle Park, NC). Anatomic coordinate systems were embedded in each bone following
37
38 published conventions [18,19]. The mediolateral (Z) axis of the femur and tibia/fibula was
39
40 defined by fitting a cylinder to the posterior femoral condyles [19]. The mid point of the
41
42 cylindrical axis was defined as the coordinate system origin for both femur and tibia.
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Continuous sagittal x-ray images of kneeling, squatting, and stair climbing were
50
51 taken using a flat panel detector (Hitachi, Clavis, Tokyo, Japan): 3 frames/sec, image area
52
53 397×298 mm, 0.20×0.20 mm/pixel resolution (Fig. 1). For kneeling, subjects started in
54
55 about 90° flexion and applied their body weight to achieve maximum knee flexion. For
56
57 squatting, subjects were asked to squat bilaterally from a fully extended standing position
58
59 to maximum knee flexion. For stair climbing, subjects ascended a 2-step staircase with
60

1
2
3
4 knee motions from flexed to extended positions recorded on the 1st step. A total of 1602
5
6 images were used for the analysis: 264 images for kneeling (10 knees), 571 images for
7
8 squatting (8 knees), and 767 images for stair climbing (12 knees).
9

10
11 The 3D position and orientation of the tibia/fibula and femur were determined using
12
13 previously reported shape matching techniques [1,17-19] (Fig. 2). A region of the flat panel
14
15 x-ray image was extracted and scaled to 512×512 square pixels for 3D shape registration.
16
17 The CT models were projected onto the images and manually aligned with the bone
18
19 projections. An automated matching algorithm using nonlinear least squares optimization
20
21 and an image edge-to-model edge distance criteria was used to refine registration. RMS
22
23 errors for this method were 0.53 mm for in-plane translation, 1.6 mm for out-of plane
24
25 translation, and 0.54° for rotations in a preliminary study [18].
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 Joint kinematics were determined from the 3D position of each bone model using
33
34 Cardan angles [31]. Femoral external tibial rotation was analyzed as a function of flexion
35
36 angle. Spline interpolation with 5° flexion increments was used to create average
37
38 kinematics for the group. The “screw-home” movement was defined as a sharp femoral
39
40 external rotation from full extension to 15° flexion and internal rotation from 15° flexion to
41
42 full extension [19,32-34]. Stair climbing proceeded from flexion to extension, while
43
44 kneeling and squatting proceeded from extension to flexion. Some subjects did not
45
46 participate in kneeling (2 knees) and squatting (4 knees) because of limited range of motion
47
48 and knee discomfort. Each subject began and completed each activity at different flexion
49
50 angles, so data representing fewer than 3 knees were eliminated from group averages. The
51
52 average maximum extension/flexion angle was $92 \pm 8^\circ$ (range, 83-103)/ $121 \pm 13^\circ$ (range,
53
54 106-143) during kneeling, $22 \pm 16^\circ$ (range, 3-53)/ $93 \pm 19^\circ$ (range, 72-132) during squatting,
55
56 and $17 \pm 19^\circ$ (range, 2-74)/ $82 \pm 11^\circ$ (range, 65-106) during stair climbing using the model
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 registration-based 3D kinematic measurement technique. Repeated measures ANOVA and
5
6 post-hoc tests (Tukey-Kramer) ($p < 0.05$) were used to compare means for different
7
8 activities and measurement methods.
9

10
11 The surface of each CT-derived tibial model was divided into medial and lateral
12 compartments and represented as a point cloud. For every image, a surface separation map
13
14 was created by computing the minimum distance between each point on the tibial surface and
15
16 all points on the femoral surface [19]. Lateral femoral condylar contact locations were
17
18 computed as the geometric centroid of the region having < 6 mm separation, which
19
20 acknowledges uncertainty about cartilage thickness [19,35,36], cartilage deformation, and
21
22 measurement errors. Medial femoral condylar contact locations were computed as the
23
24 geometric centroid having < 2 mm separation, which was based on the intraoperative
25
26 confirmation of eburnated bone in the medial compartment. The angle between the tibial
27
28 transverse axis and the line connecting the contact centroids represented the rotational
29
30 kinematics of femoral condylar contact.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 RESULTS

42
43 The femur rotated externally from an extended to a flexed position in squatting and
44
45 kneeling, and rotated internally from a flexed to an extended position in stair climbing (Fig.
46
47 3a). Based on the bone-embedded coordinate systems, femoral external rotation in minimum
48
49 and maximum flexion (20° and 100° , respectively) during squatting averaged $4^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ (range,
50
51 1° - 5°) and $15^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ (range, 13° - 18°). External rotation during squatting was greater than stair
52
53 climbing ($p > 0.05$) and kneeling ($p < 0.01$) at all flexion angles, with significant pair-wise
54
55 differences between squatting and kneeling at 90° and 95° ($p < 0.05$). Similar absolute values
56
57 of femoral axial rotation were observed in squatting from 15° to 80° flexion and stair
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 climbing from 80° to 15° flexion ($p=0.50$). A sharp external rotation with flexion or internal
5
6 rotation with extension, the 'screw-home movement', was not seen in either squatting or stair
7
8 climbing because the subjects' arthritic knees did not reach full extension.
9

10
11 Femoral external rotation based on contact location determined from CT derived
12
13 bone models also increased from an extended position to a flexed position for squatting and
14
15 kneeling activities, and decreased from a flexed position to an extended position for stair
16
17 climbing activity (Fig 3b). Femoral external rotation in minimum and maximum flexion
18
19 (20° and 100°, respectively) during squatting averaged $10^\circ \pm 8^\circ$ (range, 2°-20°) and $15^\circ \pm$
20
21 2° (range, 13°-17°). External rotation during squatting was larger than stair climbing and
22
23 kneeling at all flexion angles, but no significant differences were found between rotations
24
25 determined from contact points for squatting and stair climbing ($p=0.08$), or for squatting
26
27 and kneeling ($p=0.26$). The magnitude of external rotation from contact points was half as
28
29 much as from rigid body bone kinematics in all activities (Fig. 3a, b), but these differences
30
31 were not significant (squatting: $p=0.23$, stair climbing: $p=0.79$, kneeling: $p=0.86$).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Average AP translations of the femoral condylar contact points were smaller than
40
41 previously reported for healthy knees (Fig. 4). For stair climbing, average translation was <1
42
43 mm from 80° to 20° flexion. From 20° to 10°, contact translated several millimeters
44
45 anteriorly with little femoral internal rotation. For squatting, the femoral condylar contact
46
47 translated <1 mm from 20° to 80°. From 80° to 100°, the contact points rotated externally
48
49 with 4 mm posterior translation of the lateral compartment. No significant differences in
50
51 contact locations occurred between squatting and stair climbing (medial: $p=0.37$, lateral:
52
53 $p=0.32$). For kneeling, the contact points rotated externally with posterior translation of the
54
55 lateral compartment from 100° to 120°. Significant differences in lateral compartment contact
56
57 locations were found between squatting and kneeling at 90° to 100° flexion ($p<0.01$).
58
59
60

DISCUSSION

We examined dynamic 3D kinematics of OA knees in three activities, including weight-bearing and passive maximum knee flexion, using a high resolution flat panel x-ray detector. Previous studies of healthy knees examined kinematics during these same activities, so our primary goal was to determine how severe medial OA affected kinematics. We believe this immediate pre-operative assessment of knee function will be useful to understand post-TKA knee function.

Normal knees typically show femoral external rotation relative to the tibia with flexion. In our previous study with normal knees, tibiofemoral contact locations exhibited three phases: sharp femoral external rotation from 0°-15° flexion (similar to the 'screw home' movement), relatively constant rotation from 20°-80°, and increasing rotation from 80°-150° [19]. In knees with medial OA, femoral external rotation gradually increased with flexion for squatting and kneeling, and gradually decreased with extension for stair climbing. During squatting from 20° to 100°, similar rotations of the bone-embedded coordinate systems were observed in normal (12°) [19] and OA knees (11°). From 100° to 120° flexion, contact locations showed a medial pivot pattern similar to normal knees. However, knees with medial OA differed from normal knees. First, they displayed a femoral internal rotation bias of about 8° compared to normal knees: femoral external rotations of 4° and 15° during squatting (20° and 100° flexion, respectively) were less in knees with medial OA than the 12° and 24° observed in normal knees [19]. Second, the natural screw-home movement was not observed in knees with medial OA, perhaps because they did not reach full extension in squatting or stair climbing. Finally, femoral condylar contact in knees with medial OA did not exhibit significant AP translation between 30° and 80° for either squatting or stair climbing [19].

1
2
3
4 Femoral internal rotation bias in patients with medial OA has been reported. Matsui et
5
6 al. evaluated the femorotibial rotation using CT, and reported that the tibia tended to locate in
7
8 an externally rotated position in knees with severe OA [37]. In OA knees, a femoral internal
9
10 rotation bias may be caused by rotational deformity and/or insufficiency of the ACL. Siston
11
12 et al. measured in vivo intraoperative passive kinematics using a surgical navigation system
13
14 [25] and noted that OA knees displayed decreased tibial internal rotation between 10° and 90°
15
16 compared to normal knees. Saari et al. used dynamic RSA to study knees with medial OA and
17
18 found decreased tibial internal rotation between 50° and 20°, corresponding to less posterior
19
20 displacement of the lateral femoral flexion facet center [24]. We also observed less posterior
21
22 displacement of the lateral femoral contact point. Nagao et al. studied the rotational angle
23
24 during weight-bearing in OA knees by means of ultrasound and found that tibial external
25
26 rotation at maximum extension and screw-home rotation proportionately decreased with
27
28 progression of medial OA [38]. We did not observe screw-home type knee kinematics, likely
29
30 because our subjects with severe OA did not reach full extension. Osteophytes in the femoral
31
32 intercondylar notch and anterior tibial articulation and ligament stiffness in extension might
33
34 affect extension and the screw-home mechanism.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43
44 A consensus about AP in knees with medial OA has not been reached. Siston et al.
45
46 reported the AP motion of the femur in knees with OA was not different from normal knees
47
48 [25]. We observed patients with medial OA had less posterior femoral translation with flexion
49
50 than normal knees. Siston et al. studied intraoperative passive kinematics, whereas we
51
52 observed dynamic volitional motions. Scarvell et al. used MRI and reported anterior contact
53
54 patterns were associated with OA severity [26]. In our study, knees with severe OA showed
55
56 little AP translation between 30° and 80° during squatting and stair climbing. The medial
57
58 femoral condyle was in a similar position to that reported in healthy knees, while the lateral
59
60

1
2
3
4 femoral condyle remained more anterior than in normal knees during all three activities [19].
5
6 At higher flexion in kneeling ($>100^\circ$), we observed additional femoral external rotation and
7
8 posterior lateral translation, essentially pivoting about the medial compartment, as observed
9
10 in normal knees [19]. These knees had only modest arthritic changes in the lateral
11
12 compartment, and 50% had a functioning PCL, so one could reasonably expect a different
13
14 kinematic result with severe tricompartmental disease or an absent PCL.
15
16
17

18
19 In OA knees, reduced posterior translation during dynamic weight-bearing flexion
20
21 may be caused by increased collateral stiffness, other soft tissue contractures, and osteophyte
22
23 formation with cartilage-bone erosion in the medial compartment [39,40], and may be related
24
25 to the cartilage wear pattern on the tibial plateau. Moschella et al. reported that wear patterns
26
27 on OA knees with intact ACLs occurred in the central region of the medial plateau [41],
28
29 corresponding to the medial condylar contact patterns in the current and previous kinematic
30
31 studies [23,24,26]. Conversely, morphologic studies showed that knees with deficient ACLs
32
33 had significantly larger posterior wear patterns in the medial tibial plateau [42,43], consistent
34
35 with our kinematic findings in OA knees. In our study, the medial femoral condyle of the 3
36
37 OA knees with ruptured ACLs showed more posterior contact during stair climbing compared
38
39 to the 9 OA knees with intact/attenuated ACLs. OA knees with ruptured ACLs also showed
40
41 less femoral external rotation of the bone-embedded coordinate systems during stair climbing
42
43 than OA knees with intact/attenuated ACL. However, further investigations with sufficient
44
45 sample sizes are needed to compare kinematics in OA knees with different ligament statuses.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 Normal knee and TKA kinematics vary significantly with activity [6,19]. Knees with
55
56 medial OA showed greater femoral external rotation during squatting than other activities,
57
58 similar to normal knees [19]. The wider stance width used during squatting likely biased the
59
60 knee toward greater femoral external rotation in mid-range flexion. However, OA knees

1
2
3
4 revealed smaller kinematic differences among activities than did normal knees. No
5
6 differences between squatting and stair climbing were observed, and differences between
7
8 squatting and kneeling were significant only from 90° to 95° flexion, despite contrasting
9
10 passive and weight-bearing activities. Severe medial OA appears to limit significantly the
11
12 range of knee motions during squatting, stair climbing and kneeling.
13
14

15
16 Previous kinematic analyses of TKA knees showed some similarity to knees with
17
18 medial OA. Dennis et al. reported that rotation magnitudes and the percentage of knees
19
20 having normal axial rotation patterns decreased in TKA during a deep knee bend [7]. Knees
21
22 with medial OA showed biased femoral internal rotation compared to normal knees for
23
24 squatting and stair climbing. Physiologic femoral roll-back is rarely seen in PCL retaining
25
26 TKA during single-leg deep-knee bend and stair activities [8-10]. Knees with medial OA did
27
28 not show physiological roll-back during squatting nor physiological roll-forward during stair
29
30 climbing. Komistek et al. showed tibiofemoral contact shifted anteriorly in PCL retaining
31
32 TKAs during kneeling compared to a seated position [11]. Knees with medial OA showed
33
34 more anterior tibiofemoral contact locations in kneeling than squatting from 90° to 100°.
35
36 These observations suggest preoperative knee kinematics might play a larger role in TKA
37
38 kinematics than has been appreciated.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Iwaki et al. showed that the sagittal profile of the medial femoral condyle is composed
47
48 of a larger distal and smaller posterior circular arc, while the lateral femoral condyle is well
49
50 described by a single circular arc [44]. These asymmetric femoral geometries, and a distinct
51
52 upward slope at the anterior tibial plateau, lead to differences in axial rotation measured from
53
54 tibiofemoral contact locations or bone-embedded coordinate systems in early flexion (0°-30°)
55
56 [19]. These differences in axial rotation according to calculation method were not observed in
57
58 knees with medial OA. Lack of full knee extension and pathological changes to the
59
60

1
2
3
4 articulating surface geometries likely explain this difference from normal knees.
5

6 This study has several limitations. First, the approach to analyzing joint contact
7
8 ignores the degenerated menisci, which are invisible on x-ray, but obviously affect joint
9
10 contact and load distribution, especially in the lateral compartment. Second, determination of
11
12 tibiofemoral contact conditions from CT-derived models requires an assumption of uniform
13
14 cartilage thickness. We chose different separation thresholds for the severely arthritic medial
15
16 compartment (2 mm) and modestly arthritic lateral compartment (6 mm) to better represent
17
18 the contacting surfaces. We believe this leads to reasonable estimates of the centers of
19
20 articular contact [19]. The optimal approach might be to perform model registration using
21
22 CT-derived bone models with MR-derived cartilage surfaces, but this would impose a bigger
23
24 burden on pre-operative subjects. Third, single-plane imaging has much higher measurement
25
26 uncertainties for out-of-plane (mediolateral) translations, so we do not report these small
27
28 translations that can be measured well using bi-plane techniques [22]. Importantly, none of
29
30 these limitations or uncertainties would bias our measures to show less knee motion.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 In summary, we provided observations of knee kinematics in medial OA during
39
40 three activities using dynamic imaging and model-image registration with CT-derived
41
42 models. Weight-bearing kinematics in OA knees differ from normal knee kinematics.
43
44 Pathological changes due to medial OA affect kinematics, and these changes may be
45
46 predictive of post-TKA knee kinematics. Currently, we are following these patients to
47
48 assess their post-TKA knee function at several post-operative intervals.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Banks SA, Hodge WA. 1996. Accurate measurement of three-dimensional knee replacement kinematics using single-plane fluoroscopy. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 43:638-49.
2. Walker SA, Hoff W, Komistek R, Dennis D. 1996. "In vivo" pose estimation of artificial knee implants using computer vision. *Biomed Sci Instrum* 32:143-50.
3. Banks S, Bellemans J, Nozaki H, et al. 2003. Knee motions during maximum flexion in fixed and mobile-bearing arthroplasties. *Clin Orthop* 410:131-8.
4. Kanekasu K, Banks SA, Honjo S, et al. 2004. Fluoroscopic analysis of knee arthroplasty kinematics during deep flexion kneeling. *J Arthroplasty* 19:998-1003.
5. Coughlin KM, Incavo SJ, Doohen RR, et al. 2007. Kneeling kinematics after total knee arthroplasty: anterior-posterior contact position of a standard and a high-flex tibial insert design. *J Arthroplasty* 22:160-5.
6. Banks SA, Hodge WA. 2004. Design and activity dependence of kinematics in fixed and mobile-bearing knee arthroplasties. *J Arthroplasty* 19:809-16.
7. Dennis DA, Komistek RD, Mahfouz MR, et al. 2004. A multicenter analysis of axial femorotibial rotation after total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop* 428:180-9.
8. Stiehl JB, Komistek R, Dennis DA. 2001. A novel approach to knee kinematics. *Am J Orthop* 30:287-93.
9. Dennis DA, Komistek RD, Mahfouz MR, et al. 2003. Multicenter determination of in vivo kinematics after total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop* 416:37-57.
10. Victor J, Banks S, Bellemans J. 2005. Kinematics of posterior cruciate ligament-retaining and -substituting total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomised outcome study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 87:646-55.

11. Komistek RD, Scott RD, Dennis DA, et al. 2002. In vivo comparison of femorotibial contact positions for press-fit posterior stabilized and posterior cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasties. *J Arthroplasty* 17:209-16.
12. Hamai S, Miura H, Higaki H, et al. 2008. Kinematic analysis of kneeling in cruciate-retaining and posterior-stabilized total knee arthroplasties. *J Orthop Res* 26:435-42.
13. Asano T, Akagi M, Tanaka K, et al. 2001. In vivo three-dimensional knee kinematics using a biplanar image-matching technique. *Clin Orthop* 388:157-66.
14. You BM, Siy P, Anderst W, Tashman S. 2001. In vivo measurement of 3-D skeletal kinematics from sequences of biplane radiographs: application to knee kinematics. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 20:514-25.
15. Komistek RD, Dennis DA, Mahfouz M. 2003. In vivo fluoroscopic analysis of the normal human knee. *Clin Orthop* 410:69-81.
16. DeFrate LE, Sun H, Gill TJ, et al. 2004. In vivo tibiofemoral contact analysis using 3D MRI-based knee models. *J Biomech* 37:1499-504.
17. Fregly BJ, Rahman HA, Banks SA. 2005. Theoretical accuracy of model-based shape matching for measuring natural knee kinematics with single-plane fluoroscopy. *J Biomech Eng* 127:692-9.
18. Moro-oka TA, Hamai S, Miura H, et al. 2007. Can MR derived bone models be used for accurate motion measurement with single-plane 3D shape registration?. *J Orthop Res* 25:867-72.
19. Moro-oka TA, Hamai S, Miura H, et al. 2008. Dynamic activity dependence of in vivo normal knee kinematics. *J Orthop Res* 26:428-34.
20. Kanisawa I, Banks AZ, Banks SA, et al. 2003. Weight-bearing knee kinematics in

- 1
2
3
4 subjects with two types of anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions. *Knee Surg*
5
6 *Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 11:16-22.
7
8
- 9 21. Dennis DA, Mahfouz MR, Komistek RD, Hoff W. 2005. In vivo determination of
10 normal and anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knee kinematics. *J Biomech*
11 38:241-53.
12
13
- 14 22. DeFrate LE, Papannagari R, Gill TJ, et al. 2006. The 6 degrees of freedom
15 kinematics of the knee after anterior cruciate ligament deficiency: an in vivo
16 imaging analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 34:1240-6.
17
18
- 19 23. Nakagawa S, Kadoya Y, Todo S, et al. 2000. Tibiofemoral movement 3: full flexion
20 in the living knee studied by MRI. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 82:1199-200.
21
22
- 23 24. Saari T, Carlsson L, Karlsson J, Karrholm J. 2005. Knee kinematics in medial
24 arthrosis. Dynamic radiostereometry during active extension and weight-bearing. *J*
25 *Biomech* 38:285-92.
26
27
- 28 25. Siston RA, Giori NJ, Goodman SB, Delp SL. 2006. Intraoperative passive
29 kinematics of osteoarthritic knees before and after total knee arthroplasty. *J Orthop*
30 *Res* 24:1607-14.
31
32
- 33 26. Scarvell JM, Smith PN, Refshauge KM, Galloway HR. 2007. Magnetic resonance
34 imaging analysis of kinematics in osteoarthritic knees. *J Arthroplasty* 22:383-93.
35
36
- 37 27. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, Brandt KD, et al. 1995. Guidelines for the medical
38 management of osteoarthritis. Part II. Osteoarthritis of the knee. American College
39 of Rheumatology. *Arthritis Rheum* 38:1541-6.
40
41
- 42 28. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. 1957. Radiological assessment of osteo-arthritis. *Ann*
43 *Rheum Dis* 16:494-502.
44
45
- 46 29. Insall JN, Dorr LD, Scott RD, Scott WN. 1989. Rationale of the Knee Society clinical
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 rating system. Clin Orthop 248:13-4.
5
6
7 30. Andrews M, Noyes FR, Hewett TE, Andriacchi TP. 1996. Lower limb alignment
8 and foot angle are related to stance phase knee adduction in normal subjects: a
9 critical analysis of the reliability of gait analysis data. J Orthop Res 14:289-95.
10
11
12
13
14 31. Tupling SJ, Pierrynowski MR. 1987. Use of cardan angles to locate rigid bodies in
15 three-dimensional space. Med Biol Eng Comput 25:527-32.
16
17
18
19 32. Hallén LG, Lindahl O. 1966. The "screw-home" movement in the knee-joint. Acta
20 Orthop Scand 37:97-106.
21
22
23
24 33. Tent PS, Walker PS, Wolf B. 1976. Ligament length patterns, strength and rotational
25 axes of the knee. Clin Orthop 117:263-70.
26
27
28
29 34. Moglo KE, Shirazi-Adl A. 2005. Cruciate coupling and screw-home mechanism in
30 passive knee joint during extension-flexion. J Biomech 38:1075-83.
31
32
33
34 35. Adam C, Eckstein F, Milz S, et al. 1998. The distribution of cartilage thickness in
35 the knee-joints of old-aged individuals -- measurement by A-mode ultrasound. Clin
36 Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 13:1-10.
37
38
39
40
41 36. Koo S, Gold GE, Andriacchi TP. 2005. Considerations in measuring cartilage
42 thickness using MRI: factors influencing reproducibility and accuracy.
43 Osteoarthritis Cartilage 13:782-9.
44
45
46
47
48
49 37. Matsui Y, Kadoya Y, Uehara K, et al. 2005. Rotational deformity in varus
50 osteoarthritis of the knee: analysis with computed tomography. Clin Orthop
51 433:147-51.
52
53
54
55
56 38. Nagao N, Tachibana T, Mizuno K. 1998. The rotational angle in osteoarthritic knees.
57 Int Orthop 22:282-7.
58
59
60
39. Brage ME, Draganich LF, Pottenger LA, Curran JJ. 1994. Knee laxity in

- 1
2
3
4 symptomatic osteoarthritis. Clin Orthop 304:184-9.
5
6
7 40. Fishkin Z, Miller D, Ritter C, Ziv I. 2002. Changes in human knee ligament
8
9 stiffness secondary to osteoarthritis. J Orthop Res 20:204-7.
10
11 41. Moschella D, Blasi A, Leardini A, et al. 2006. Wear patterns on tibial plateau from
12
13 varus osteoarthritic knees. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 21:152-8.
14
15
16 42. Harman MK, Markovich GD, Banks SA, Hodge WA. 1998. Wear patterns on tibial
17
18 plateaus from varus and valgus osteoarthritic knees. Clin Orthop 352:149-58.
19
20
21 43. Mullaji AB, Marawar SV, Luthra M. 2008. Tibial articular cartilage wear in varus
22
23 osteoarthritic knees: correlation with anterior cruciate ligament integrity and
24
25 severity of deformity. J Arthroplasty 23:128-35.
26
27
28 44. Iwaki H, Pinskerova V, Freeman MA. 2000. Tibiofemoral movement 1: the shapes
29
30 and relative movements of the femur and tibia in the unloaded cadaver knee. J Bone
31
32 Joint Surg Br 82:1189-95.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

Table 1. Data for all 12 Subjects

Patient	Age/sex	Previous Surgery	KL grade	Range of motion (extension/flexion)	KSS (KS/FS)	WBR (%)	ACL status PCL status
1	84/F	-	IV	-10/125	66/45	-13	ruptured attenuated
2	77/F	-	IV	-15/115	60/60	11	attenuated attenuated
3	64/F	+	III	0/115	64/45	64	intact intact
4	62/F	-	IV	-10/120	55/65	12	attenuated intact
5	71/F	-	IV	0/140	70/70	14	intact intact
6	86/M	-	IV	0/130	70/65	-26	attenuated attenuated
7	73/F	-	IV	-35/120	47/65	15	attenuated attenuated

8	72/F	-	IV	-10/90	59/45	-2	ruptured intact
9	84/F	-	IV	-20/130	57/45	-13	ruptured attenuated
10	62/F	-	IV	-10/115	54/65	26	intact intact
11	73/F	-	IV	-10/100	37/55	-6	intact intact
12	77/F	-	IV	-20/115	59/60	0	attenuated attenuated

KL grade = Kellgren-Lawrence grade; KSS = Knee Society Score; KS = Knee Score; FS = Function Score; WBR = weight bearing ratio.

Figure 1: Subjects performed kneeling (left), squatting (middle) and stair climbing (right) while their knee motions were observed using a large flat-panel x-ray image detector. The images show a left knee. Kneeling was performed from about 90° to maximum knee flexion. Squatting was performed from a fully extended standing position to maximum flexion. Stair climbing captured the ascent phase from about 80° to 20° flexion.

Figure 2: 3D-to-2D CT model-to-flat panel image registration was used to determine the kinematics of medial OA knees during three weight-bearing activities.

Figure 3: A: Femoral external rotations based on bone-embedded coordinate systems. Femoral external rotation increased with flexion for squatting and kneeling, and decreased with extension for stair climbing. No significant differences occurred between rotations for squatting and stair climbing ($p=0.05$). Solid triangles on kneeling represent significant differences from the corresponding rotation during squatting ($p<0.05$). B: Femoral external rotations based on contact location determined from CT-derived bone models. The femur showed net external rotation with flexion for squatting and kneeling, and net internal rotation with extension for stair climbing. No significant differences occurred between rotations for

1
2
3
4 squatting and stair climbing ($p=0.08$), and for squatting and kneeling ($p=0.26$). No significant
5
6 differences occurred between rotations determined by the CT model based contact locations
7
8 and the rigid body bone motions in graph A (squatting: $p=0.23$, stair climbing: $p=0.79$,
9
10 kneeling: $p=0.86$).
11
12

13
14 **Figure 4:** Average contact locations superimposed on a right tibial surface model of a
15
16 representative knee with medial OA. Average contact locations are shown for 10° flexion
17
18 increments for stair climbing and kneeling, and 20° flexion increments for squatting. Knees
19
20 with medial OA revealed small kinematic differences in all activities. For squatting, the
21
22 contact positions showed little posterior femoral translation with flexion from 20° to 80°. For
23
24 stair climbing, the contact positions showed little anterior femoral translation with extension
25
26 from 80° to 20°. For squatting from 80° to 100° and kneeling from 80° to 120°, the contact
27
28 position in the lateral compartment showed posterior translation with flexion.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Figure 1: Subjects performed kneeling (left), squatting (middle) and stair climbing (right) activities while their knee motions were observed using a large flat-panel x-ray image detector. The images show a left knee being studied. Kneeling was performed from approximately 90 degrees to maximum knee flexion. Squatting was performed from a fully extended standing position to maximum knee flexion. Stair climbing captured the ascent phase from approximately 80 degrees to 20 degrees flexion.

2102x609mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Peer Review



Figure 2: 3D-to-2D CT model-to-flat panel image registration was used to determine the kinematics of medial osteoarthritic knees during three weight-bearing activities.
167x173mm (72 x 72 DPI)








Figure 3: A: Femoral external rotations based on bone-embedded coordinate systems. Femoral external rotation increased with knee flexion for squatting and kneeling activities, and decreased with knee extension for stair climbing activity. There were no significant differences between rotations for squatting and stair climbing activities ($p=0.05$). Solid triangles on kneeling graph represent statistically significant differences from the corresponding rotation during the squatting activity ($p<0.05$). B: Femoral external rotations based on contact location determined from CT derived bone models. The femur showed net external rotation with knee flexion for squatting and kneeling activities, and net internal rotation with knee extension for stair climbing activity. There were no significant differences between rotations for squatting and stair climbing ($p=0.08$), and for squatting and kneeling ($p=0.26$). There were no significant differences between rotations determined by the CT model based contact locations and the rigid body bone motions in graph A (squatting: $p=0.23$, stair climbing: $p=0.79$, kneeling: $p=0.86$).


650x243mm (96 x 96 DPI)



stair contact points



squat contact points



kneel contact points

Figure 4: Average contact locations superimposed on a right tibial surface model of a representative knee with medial OA. Average contact locations are shown for 10 degrees flexion increments for stair climbing and kneeling activities, and 20 degrees flexion increments for the squatting activity. Knees with medial OA revealed small kinematic differences in all activities. For squatting activity, the contact positions showed little posterior femoral translation with knee flexion from 20 degrees to 80 degrees. For stair climbing activity, the contact positions showed little anterior femoral translation with knee extension from 80 degrees to 20 degrees. For squatting from 80 degrees to 100 degrees and kneeling activities from 80 degrees to 120 degrees, the contact position in the lateral compartment showed posterior translation with knee flexion.

196x572mm (100 x 100 DPI)